| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/803,881 | NISHIMURA, KATSUHITO | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KANG HU | 3715 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: allowance | | |
| (1) KANG HU. | (3) Leo Boutsikaris. | | |
| (2) Joseph Presta. | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 9 March 2010 | Time: <u>2:00pm</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: September September | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 112 | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-27 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed proposed amendment to over come the 112 2^{M} rejection, claim informalities and further define the predetermined ratio. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A boff summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Applicant(s)